Donna,

Matt has asked about my travel information and told me that he will attend ETSI Quantum-safe workshop. It was not quite clear whether he will replace you to give the talk about US view and participate the panel. I will ask him if he likes to chat.

I think this is a very thoughtful decision even I am disappointed for not being to travel together with you. During the years working with you I learned a lot about strategic thinking and keeping a big picture in mind. Indeed, Matt should be more involved.

Being friends means to think each other all the time, though we may not spend much time together. I am at Crypto this week with quite a few others. We are thinking to co-locate NIST PQC workshop 2019 with crypto 2019. I missed the time we spent in the campus during the previous crypto conferences and also work together on the workshops when SHA-3 was co-located.

By the way, my article on PQC standards was published in IEEE Security and Privacy July/August special issue. See http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8012315&tag=1 Hope it is readable. The approvers in WERB chain may have seen it a few months earlier.

Lily

On 8/23/17, 9:01 AM, "Dodson, Donna F (Fed)" <donna.dodson@nist.gov> wrote:

Lily,

Matt may have already mentioned this to you but as I have been thinking about our work with ETSI. I think it would be good it would be good for Matt to get to know the conference organizers and some of the

leaders in the "quantum crypto" space. For that reason, I have asked him if he would like to take my place on the two panels at the 2017 workshop. He sounded very pleased to do this. I am going to send a note to Michele and Nathalie.

From a personal perspective, I hate to give this up as I learn a lot at these conferences and I have the opportunity to spend some quality time with you talking about work and ourselves. However, I think professionally it is right to have Matt be involved.

Regards,

Donna